



An investigation into the removal of challenge fluid from a membrane by steam sterilization following aerosol integrity testing using the Valairdata 3

Product Support

Technical information • Product support • New developments

aerospace
climate control
electromechanical
filtration
fluid & gas handling
hydraulics
pneumatics
process control
sealing & shielding

Parker domnick hunter

Setting the standard

Parker domnick hunter bring extensive experience through our scientists, engineers and sales representatives to the process of offering specific filtration systems to meet the needs of your production process. Support services are available covering a wide range of activities including scale-up advice from laboratory through to pilot scales to production systems, validation support, design and manufacturing of custom housings and filtration products and on-site technical support.

Committed to quality

Quality is of paramount importance to Parker domnick hunter. As such Parker domnick hunter has been certified to ISO9001 since 1987, providing a quality management system that covers the entire organization from R & D, production, warehousing, materials management and customer support. In addition, our manufacturing facilities operate to the principles of cGMP.

This commitment is underlined by our registration to ISO 9001, ISO 14001, ISO 13485 and certification to ISO 22000.

Validation and product certification

To certify that Parker domnick hunter products meet the required regulatory and quality standards of the industries that we supply, all filters are supplied with a certificate of conformance. These certificates are linked to validation documents for both prefilter and sterilizing grade membrane filter products that define methodologies and data appropriate to each filter type. This information typically includes:

- Technical specifications
- Biological safety testing including current USP <88> Class VI - 121 °C Plastics
- Extractable testing including 21CFR211.72 and 210.3(b), 6 for fibre releasing filters
- Purified Water filtration quality including TOC, bacterial endotoxins, conductivity and particle release
- Chemical compatibility information
- Thermal stability
- Correlation of an appropriate non-destructive integrity test to a defined bacterial challenge
- Where appropriate this data is included in Parker domnick hunter's Drug Master File No. 7564 held at the US Food and Drug Administration repository.

Validation support services

Parker domnick hunter has extensive laboratory facilities and trained personnel capable of providing a range of validation services to support manufacturers in meeting their requirements for process validation relating to the use of filtration products.

Contents

Summary	4
Introduction	4
Methods	4
3.1 Test procedure	5
3.2 Analysis of oil content	5
Results and discussion	5-6
Appendix 1 - calculation of oil content	7
Technical support group activities	8

1. Summary

A detailed study was completed to investigate the efficiency of steam sterilization for the removal of aerosol challenge fluid from membranes following integrity testing using the Valairdata system. Aerosol of a given droplet size is generated from Shell Ondina oil.

The study was undertaken using an extreme test condition where the test membranes (PTFE) were subjected to a concentration of aerosol that was 20 times higher than that normally used for a Valairdata test. Following exposure to aerosol, the test membranes were steam sterilized for 30 minutes at 121°C (250°F) and residual aerosol detected using Infrared spectroscopy. In all cases, no residual aerosol could be detected on the membranes following steam sterilization. The sensitivity of the test was 2mg/ml of target analyte.

In addition, analysis of condensate samples collected from upstream and downstream drains during steam sterilization of membranes exposed to challenge fluid showed minimal carry over of challenge fluid into the downstream condensate samples. This indicates that during steam sterilization the majority of challenge fluid is removed from PTFE membranes via the upstream drain and vent.

2. Introduction

The principle of aerosol testing sterile air cartridges has been well received in industrial applications as it has many practical advantages over liquid based integrity test methods. However, one key concern has been raised concerning the potential retention of aerosol on the membrane following integrity testing.

During the development of the Valairdata integrity test system, studies were completed to show that there was no increase in the differential pressure at the rated flow of the cartridge following repeated integrity testing and steam sterilization. This suggested that there was no significant retention of aerosol on the membrane following steam sterilization. These studies have been supplemented by the quantitative studies reported here to determine the actual concentration of challenge fluid, if any, which remains on the membrane following steam sterilization procedures.

3. Methods

3.1 Test procedure

Tests were conducted using 142 mm discs of PTFE test membranes installed in a stainless steel disc holder. The test set-up is shown in Figure 1. Membranes were used in preference to complete filter cartridges to avoid possible masking of residual Shell Ondina EL aerosol challenge fluid by normal extractable hydrocarbons from other cartridge components (e.g. plastic cages, cores, end caps etc).




Figure 1:
Test rig for steam sterilization of aerosol loaded 142mm membrane samples

The following test procedure was followed for each membrane evaluation;

- 3.1.1 A new PTFE membrane was installed in the 142mm disc holder.
- 3.1.2 All valves closed.
- 3.1.3 Crack open Valves E & G and fully open Valves C & D.
- 3.1.4 Open steam Valve A.
- 3.1.5 When condensate flow ceases from drains C & D, and is replaced by free steam flow, close Valves C & D to a cracked position.
- 3.1.6 As pressure increases to 1.1 barg, the condensate issuing from drains E & G was collected in individual Duran bottles previously cleaned with Trichlorofluoroethane. Condensate from the upstream and downstream drains was collected throughout the 30 minutes sterilization cycle.
- 3.1.7 Following cooling of the test rig, the ø142mm disc holder was removed from the rig and integrity tested using the Valairdata. The disc was tested such that it was subjected to a concentration of challenge fluid 20 times higher than that normally seen in a standard integrity test.
- 3.1.8 Following integrity testing, the 142mm-disc housing was re-installed into the test rig and steam sterilized in accordance with the sequence described in sections 3.1.2 to 3.1.6.
- 3.1.9 Following steam sterilization, the disc holder was allowed to cool to ambient. The test membrane was then carefully removed from the holder using tweezers and placed in a petri dish prior to analysis using a laser spectrophotometer.

This procedure was repeated on a number of sample discs. Between each test the test rig was steamed empty for 30 minutes at 121°C (250°F) to ensure no cross over contamination between samples.

3.2 Analysis of oil content

A Mattson Laser spectrophotometer was used to determine the amount of oil in both the collected condensate and that which remained on the membrane following steam sterilization. The Shell Ondina EL gives a characteristic absorbance at specific wavelengths, which can then be used to quantify the oil content. Before analysing the samples a background solvent analysis was undertaken to determine a value for 100% transmission in the infrared.

- 3.2.1 Determination of residual challenge fluid on test membranes following steam sterilization.
To determine the residual challenge fluid on membranes following steam sterilization, test membranes were placed in individual glass Duran bottles previously cleaned with Trichlorotrifluoroethane. 25ml of solvent was added to each Duran bottle and these were agitated for 1 minute to ensure that all traces of challenge fluid were transferred to the solvent.
Approximately 10ml of the solvent was added to a quartz glass sample cell (4cm-path length), which was placed in the spectrophotometer for analysis.
- 3.2.2 Determination of challenge fluid in upstream and downstream condensate samples. 50ml of condensate was transferred to a stoppered glass funnel. 25ml of solvent was added to the condensate and agitated for 1 minute to ensure all traces of challenge fluid were extracted from the condensate into the solvent.
- 3.2.3 The mixture was then allowed to separate into two discrete layers (approximately 1 minute). 15ml of the upper solvent layer was then transferred into a quartz glass sample cell, which was placed in the spectrophotometer for analysis.

4. Results and discussion

The levels of residual challenge fluid on non-steamed and steamed PTFE membrane samples are shown in Table 1. It can be seen that any residual challenge fluid on the steamed samples was below detectable limits (<2mg/ml) of solvent. In contrast the non-steamed samples showed an average extractable level of 722mg. This demonstrates that steam sterilization is extremely effective at removing residual challenge fluid from tested filter cartridges.

Sample ID	Description	Solvent Volume (ml)	Total Oil Content (ml)
M1	Unchallenged PTFE	25	Not detectable
M2	Unchallenged PTFE	25	Not detectable
M3	Challenged PTFE steamed	25	Not detectable
M4	Challenged PTFE steamed	25	Not detectable
M5	Challenged PTFE steamed	25	Not detectable
M6	Challenged PTFE unsteamed	25	782ug
M7	Challenged PTFE unsteamed	25	662ug

Table 2 shows the results for condensate samples collected upstream and downstream of membranes following steam sterilization. Results are presented for membranes exposed to challenge fluid, a non-exposed membrane control sample and an empty disc holder control sample.

These data show that there was a significant level of extractable from both the empty housing and the PTFE control membrane. As expected the upstream samples from integrity tested membrane samples showed an elevated extractable level compared to these controls. However, in all cases the downstream extractable level was similar for the control and integrity tested samples. This indicates that the majority (83%) of aerosol challenge fluid retained on the membrane is removed during steaming through the upstream drain valve. This means that the level of downstream carry over of challenge fluid during steam sterilization is very small.

To put the quantity of challenge fluid used during a test into context, reference can be made to a typical prefiltration system for a sterile gas supply to a fermenter. Air quality levels with respect to residual oil are defined in ISO 8573.1:2001 (E) as follows:

Class 4 < 5 mg/m³

Class 3 < 1 mg/m³

Class 2 < 0.1 mg/m³

Class 1 < 0.01 mg/m³

Taking the cleanest Class 1 system with a max remaining oil content of 0.01mg/m³ a 5" sterile air cartridge (e.g. ZCHT/AT) would process approximately 8000m³ of air with a total oil content of 80mg during a typical 7 day fermentation run.

The amount of challenge fluid deposited during an integrity test is therefore negligible.

Sample ID	Description	Total volume of condensate (ml)	Total volume (ml)	Solvent volume (ml)	Solvent ug/ml	Total ug
M1	PTFE unchallenged upstream	60	50	25	9.19	275
M1	PTFE unchallenged downstream	45	45	25	3.6	90
M2	PTFE unchallenged upstream	45	45	25	10.2	255
M2	PTFE unchallenged downstream	50	50	25	3.30	82
M3	Challenge upstream	80	50	25	2.87	115
M3	Challenge downstream	110	50	25	8.04	442
M4	Challenge upstream	110	50	25	8.35	459
M4	Challenge downstream	95	50	25	2.46	116
M5	Challenge upstream	105	50	25	8.1	425
M5	Challenge downstream	10	50	25	2.13	117

Table 2: Extractable levels in upstream and downstream condensate samples.

5. Appendix 1 - Calculation of oil content

The oil content is determined by taking the absorbance level at the three specific wavelengths as a fraction of the 100% transmission and dividing this by the oil coefficient.

The oil coefficient for Shell Ondina is 0.023 and is determined by obtaining traces at various dilutions of oil/solvent beginning with 0.1 grammes/100ml of solvent.

The formula is as follows

$$\text{absorbance} = \ln_{10} \frac{10^3}{I_1 \times I_2 \times I_3}$$

Where I_0 is the base line absorbance, I_1 , I_2 , and I_3 are the absorbance at the specific wavelengths.

The oil content is then:

$$\frac{\text{absorbance}}{\text{oil coefficient}}$$

this gives a result in $\mu\text{g/ml}$ solvent used to extract the oil from the sample.

Example PTFE challenged

From graph M6 PTFE

$$\begin{aligned} I_0 &= 100\% \\ I_1 &= 65.3\% \\ I_2 &= 66.6\% \\ I_3 &= 43.89\% \end{aligned}$$

The absorbance is given by:

$$\ln_{10} \frac{(10^3)}{(I_1 \times I_2 \times I_3)}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{absorbance} &= \ln_{10} \frac{100^3}{66.6 \times 65.3 \times 43.89} \\ &= 0.719 \end{aligned}$$

The amount of oil in $\mu\text{g/ml}$ is given by:

$$\frac{\text{absorbance}}{\text{oil coefficient}} = \frac{0.719}{0.023} = 31.26 \mu\text{g / ml}$$

The sample disc was placed in 25ml of solvent therefore the total amount of oil deposited on the discs was $25 \times 31.26 = 781 \mu\text{g}$.

6. Technical support group activities

Parker domnick hunter have a trained team of scientists and engineers available to answer questions regarding the technical capabilities of Process Filtration Europe products, to assist in the selection and design of appropriate filtration systems and to provide user training programs. The following services can be delivered both on-site and through our local Parker domnick hunter offices:

- Filterability testing to optimize filter system design
- Advice on the development of integrity testing, steam sterilization and clean-in-place procedures
- Development of validation procedures
- Troubleshooting
- Facility audits to ensure continued optimization of filter use
- Operator training including filtration theory, validation, filter system design and management.

For more information about any of the above support services please contact your local Parker domnick hunter representative.

email: tsg@parker.com

website: www.parker.com/processfiltration

Parker Worldwide

Europe, Middle East, Africa

AE – United Arab Emirates, Dubai

Tel: +971 4 8127100
parker.me@parker.com

AT – Austria, Wiener Neustadt

Tel: +43 (0)2622 23501-0
parker.austria@parker.com

AT – Eastern Europe, Wiener Neustadt

Tel: +43 (0)2622 23501 900
parker.easteurope@parker.com

AZ – Azerbaijan, Baku

Tel: +994 50 2233 458
parker.azerbaijan@parker.com

BE/LU – Belgium, Nivelles

Tel: +32 (0)67 280 900
parker.belgium@parker.com

BY – Belarus, Minsk

Tel: +375 17 209 9399
parker.belarus@parker.com

CH – Switzerland, Etoy

Tel: +41 (0)21 821 87 00
parker.switzerland@parker.com

CZ – Czech Republic, Klecany

Tel: +420 284 083 111
parker.czechrepublic@parker.com

DE – Germany, Kaarst

Tel: +49 (0)2131 4016 0
parker.germany@parker.com

DK – Denmark, Ballerup

Tel: +45 43 56 04 00
parker.denmark@parker.com

ES – Spain, Madrid

Tel: +34 902 330 001
parker.spain@parker.com

FI – Finland, Vantaa

Tel: +358 (0)20 753 2500
parker.finland@parker.com

FR – France, Contamine s/Arve

Tel: +33 (0)4 50 25 80 25
parker.france@parker.com

GR – Greece, Athens

Tel: +30 210 933 6450
parker.greece@parker.com

HU – Hungary, Budapest

Tel: +36 1 220 4155
parker.hungary@parker.com

IE – Ireland, Dublin

Tel: +353 (0)1 466 6370
parker.ireland@parker.com

IT – Italy, Corsico (MI)

Tel: +39 02 45 19 21
parker.italy@parker.com

KZ – Kazakhstan, Almaty

Tel: +7 7272 505 800
parker.easteurope@parker.com

NL – The Netherlands, Oldenzaal

Tel: +31 (0)541 585 000
parker.nl@parker.com

NO – Norway, Asker

Tel: +47 66 75 34 00
parker.norway@parker.com

PL – Poland, Warsaw

Tel: +48 (0)22 573 24 00
parker.poland@parker.com

PT – Portugal, Leca da Palmeira

Tel: +351 22 999 7360
parker.portugal@parker.com

RO – Romania, Bucharest

Tel: +40 21 252 1382
parker.romania@parker.com

RU – Russia, Moscow

Tel: +7 495 645-2156
parker.russia@parker.com

SE – Sweden, Spånga

Tel: +46 (0)8 59 79 50 00
parker.sweden@parker.com

SK – Slovakia, Banská Bystrica

Tel: +421 484 162 252
parker.slovakia@parker.com

SL – Slovenia, Novo Mesto

Tel: +386 7 337 6650
parker.slovenia@parker.com

TR – Turkey, Istanbul

Tel: +90 216 4997081
parker.turkey@parker.com

UA – Ukraine, Kiev

Tel: +380 44 494 2731
parker.ukraine@parker.com

UK – United Kingdom, Warwick

Tel: +44 (0)1926 317 878
parker.uk@parker.com

ZA – South Africa, Kempton Park

Tel: +27 (0)11 961 0700
parker.southafrica@parker.com

North America

CA – Canada, Milton, Ontario

Tel: +1 905 693 3000

US – USA, Cleveland

Tel: +1 216 896 3000

Asia Pacific

AU – Australia, Castle Hill

Tel: +61 (0)2-9634 7777

CN – China, Shanghai

Tel: +86 21 2899 5000

HK – Hong Kong

Tel: +852 2428 8008

IN – India, Mumbai

Tel: +91 22 6513 7081-85

JP – Japan, Tokyo

Tel: +81 (0)3 6408 3901

KR – South Korea, Seoul

Tel: +82 2 559 0400

MY – Malaysia, Shah Alam

Tel: +60 3 7849 0800

NZ – New Zealand, Mt Wellington

Tel: +64 9 574 1744

SG – Singapore

Tel: +65 6887 6300

TH – Thailand, Bangkok

Tel: +662 717 8140

TW – Taiwan, Taipei

Tel: +886 2 2298 8987

South America

AR – Argentina, Buenos Aires

Tel: +54 3327 44 4129

BR – Brazil, Sao Jose dos Campos

Tel: +55 12 4009 3500

CL – Chile, Santiago

Tel: +56 2 623 1216

MX – Mexico, Apodaca

Tel: +52 81 8156 6000

VE – Venezuela, Caracas

Tel: +58 212 238 5422

Ed: 2010-09-29